

From: (b) (6)
To: "Cerise, Kathy" <Cerise.Kathryn@epa.gov>
Date: 10/3/2019 7:34:48 AM
Subject: Quendall Terminals

Dear EPA,

I attended the open house and public meeting for Quendall Terminals on September 24, 2019. I am a Renton, WA resident, living on the beautiful shores of Lake Washington (b) (6) south of Quendall. In the 12 years that I have lived here, I have been waiting for the Terminals to be cleaned up and developed to provide much needed housing as well as commercial space and public access to the Lake.

I was therefore, dismayed and frustrated as I listened to the EPA's preferred alternatives for cleaning up the site, which most certainly means it will not get cleaned up in my lifetime. Alternatives 7a and D are not only cost prohibitive but the uncertain goal to restore ground water is without reason as it is illegal in Renton to use that water for domestic use. There was not a single comment from the audience that supported your preferred alternatives. Some directly refuted the unknown results of smoldering combustion while others referred to the always changing requirements forced upon the cooperative owners who for decades have been trying to get the job done.

It appears to me that the EPAs most preferred alternative is to actually leave Quendall Terminals the Superfund cleanup site that it is today. If that were not the case, you would have proposed a realistic alternative.

Sincerely,

(b) (6)

Renton, WA 98056